

Why Reflective Equilibrium? II: Following Up on Rawls’s Comparison of His Own Approach with a Kantian Approach

SVEIN ENG

svein.eng@jus.uio.no

ABSTRACT. In *A Theory of Justice* (1971), John Rawls introduces the concept of “reflective equilibrium.” Although there are innumerable references to and discussions of this concept in the literature, there is, to the present author’s knowledge, no discussion of the most important question: *Why* reflective equilibrium? In particular, the question arises: Is the method of reflective equilibrium applicable to the choice of this method *itself*? Rawls’s drawing of parallels between Kant’s moral theory and his own suggests that his concept of “reflective equilibrium” is on a par with Kant’s concept of “transcendental deduction.” Treating these two approaches to justification as paradigmatic, I consider their respective merits in meeting the reflexive challenge, i.e., in offering a justification for choice of mode of justification. My enquiry into this topic comprises three parts. In the first part (Eng 2014a), I raised the issue of the reflexivity of justification and questioned whether the reflexive challenge can be met within the framework of *A Theory of Justice*. In this second part, I shall outline a Kantian approach that represents a paradigmatic alternative to Rawls.

*University of Oslo
Department of Public and International Law
Karl Johans gt. 47
N-0162 Oslo
Norway
E-mail: svein.eng@jus.uio.no*